



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/661,070	09/13/2000	Andrew T. Molitor	6880	7631
25763	7590 12/02/2002			
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 50 SOUTH POLYS AND 166402 1400			EXAMINER	
			PHUNKULH, BOB A	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-1498		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			260	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

All Off

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)
•	•	09/661,070		MOLITOR, ANDREW T.
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit
		Bob A. Phun	kulh	2661
	IAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence address
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply - Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FO G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC me may be available under the provisions of DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu- reply specified above is less than thirty (30) reply is specified above, the maximum statu- within the set or extended period for reply w- ed by the Office later than three months afte- erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nication. days, a reply within the statutor utory period will apply and will exitly, by statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be ti y minimum of thirty (30) da pire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ion to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely, n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133),
_	onsive to communication(s) file	d on 16 September 20	02	
· ·		b) This action is no		
3)☐ Since	this application is in condition to the condition of the	·— for allowance except fo	or formal matters, p	
4) Claim(s	s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.		
4a) Of t	he above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consi	deration.	
_	s) is/are allowed.			
	s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.			
	s) is/are objected to.			
	s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requ	irement.	
Application Pap				
9)☐ The spe	cification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)☐ The draw	wing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) ob	jected to by the Exa	aminer.
Applica	ant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The prop	posed drawing correction filed	on is: a) <u></u> appr	oved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
If appr	oved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Office	action.	
12)☐ The oath	n or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknow	vledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)∏ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1.□ 0	Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been re	eceived.	
2.□ 0	Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been re	eceived in Applicat	ion No
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	e translation of the foreign lang edgment is made of a claim for			
Attachment(s)				
2) Notice of Drafts3) Information Dis	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Pateni and Trademark Offi PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	се	Office Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 2661

1-44 are now pending.

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's 09/16/2002

amendment/responses in the application of MOLITOR for "METHOD AND

APPARATUS FOR FACILITATING PEER-TO-PEER APPLICATION

COMMUNICATION" filed 09/13/2000. The amendments/response to the claims have been entered. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagidate et al. (US 6128664), hereinafter Yanagidate.

Regarding claims 1, 15, and 30, Yanalidate discloses a network address translation device (an address-translating device 14) for facilitating message packet communication between a first application in a first address realm (terminal device 10a in a network 11) and a second application in a second address realm (terminal devices 12a or 12b in a network 12) comprising:

Art Unit: 2661

an address translator for translating an address valid in the first address realm into an address valid in the second address realm based on a translation rule and for translating the address valid in the second address realm into the address valid in the first address realm (an address translation table 14c);

an address manager for establishing the translation rule by associating the address valid in the first address realm with the address valid in the second address realm (IP address control table 14b, and host-namelprivate-address lookup table 14a); and

a control channel communicating with the address manager for receiving from the first application a service request message (an inquiry, SI in figure 6) for an address valid in the second address realm to be associated with a specified address valid in the first address realm and for providing the first application access (S4, S7, S12, in figure 6) to the requested address valid in the second address realm to facilitate the first application's communication of the address valid in the second address realm as message packet data to the second application (see figures I and 2, and col. 2 line 33 to col. 3 line 14).

Regarding claims 2-3, 16-17, Yanagidate discloses the addressed requested by the first application is a terminating address or an originating address.

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claims 4-5, and 18-19, the first address realm is an internal network or private network 12 and the second address realm is an external network or global internet address 11 (see figure 2).

Regarding claims 6, 20, 35, the address manager (IP address control table 14b and host-name/private-address lookup table 14a) establishes a translation rule by associating an address valid in the private network realm 12 with an address valid in the global internet address realms (see figure 2 and col. 2 line 33 to col. 3 line 14).

Regarding claims 9, 24, 39, Yanagidate discloses the communication facilitated is peer-to-peer communication. Newton's telecom dictionary defined the term "peer-to-peer" as communications between two entities that operate within the same protocol layer of a system. In Yanagidata, the communication between terminal 11 a and terminal 14a uses the same IP protocol layer (see figure 2).

Regarding claims 10-14, 25-29, 40-44 Yanagidate discloses the network translation device the address translation with a predetermined rule (col. 3 lines 44 to col. 4 line 12).

Yanagidate fails to disclose that receiving from the first application a service request message for the address valid in the second address realm to be associated with the internal address of the first application valid in the first address realm.

Art Unit: 2661

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to initiate the connection request from a terminal of a private network 12 in Yanagidate in order to provides the private users with ability to access the public network (i.e. internet) without jeopardizing the private network security.

Regarding claim 7-8, 21-22, 36-38, Yanagidate fails to disclose establishing rules for translation of address information in an inbound message packet to occur in response to the presence or absence of specified originating address information in the message packet. Also, it should be noted that it is well known in the art that an IP packet comprises of at least a source address and a destination address in it header.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to check the source address of the incoming packet to determine whether the user have access to the private network or secure network -thus preventing hackers or unwanted users from gaining access to the private network.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2661

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 308-5403 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2661

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal

Page 7

Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is (703)

308-8251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. to

4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor Douglas W. Olms, can be reach on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number

for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquire of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Bob A. Phunkulh

November 27, 2002

T.C. 2600

Art Unit 2661

Vouslos W. Chr. DOUGLAS OLMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600